From				
INTE	RNATIONA	L SEARCHI	NG AUTHO	RITY
				

To:
JOHN W. CALDWELL
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP

PCT

ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 461H FLOOR PHILDELPHIA, PA 19103		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 7 SEP 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	_ 	FOR FURTHER ACTION				
UPNA-0030			See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International filing date		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/41262 10 December 2004 (10.1 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification		2.2004) 11 December 2003 (11.12.2003)				
IPC(7): B32B 9/00; D01F 9/12 A61B 19			8/447 1· 604/11 19 264· 128/898			
Applicant 19	700, A01WI 23/00 and O3 C	71 420/400, 440, 42.	7411.1, 00 111, 12, 20 1, 120, 020			
THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSIT	Y OF PENNSYLVANIA					
			·			
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	S .				
Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion		•			
Box No. II Priority	Priority					
Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with rep	gard to novelty, inver	tive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of un	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned s	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain dox	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain def	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain obs	Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	<u> </u>	Authorized officer				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Stephen J. Stein	lean Proctor			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 5	71-272-1700			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. 3	71-272-1700			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/41262

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With was i	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
8.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. 🔲	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addi	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/41262

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement YES Claims 1-54 Novelty (N) Claims NONE YES Claims 1-54 Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE NO YES Claims 1-54 Industrial applicability (IA) NO Claims NONE

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-54 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest (1) a probing tool comprising a nanotube at least partially coated with a biocompatible coating comprising silica capable of absorbing bioreactive molecules, (2) a probing system comprising a nanotube at least partially covered with a biocompatible coating comprising silica capable of absorbing bioreactive molecules, a microscope and micron-resolved mechanical control, (3) a probing method comprising partially coating a nanotube with a biocompatible coating comprising silica to form a bio functional nanoprobe and contacting a vesicle with the probe, and (4) a probing method comprising partially coating a nanotube with a biocompatible coating comprising silica to form a bio functional nanoprobe, absorbing the coating with a bioreactive molecule, contacting the a vesicle with the nanoprobe, and expelling the molecule from the coating.

Claims 1-54 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/41262

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international	application
--------------	---	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 19 is objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 19 is indefinite for the following reason(s): Claim 19 recites the limitation "The system of claim 11 wherein said silica is spherical colloidal silica particles". The limitation silica lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)